■JS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

#### CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

DEFENDANTS

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, Telephone Number and Email Address)  Lane J. Schiff, Esquire Console Law Offices LLC  1525 Locust Street, 9th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102 215-545-7676  II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)  1 U.S. Government Plaintiff  2 U.S. Government Defendant De	L (a) PLAINTIFFS MICHAEL C	COVALESKI	DEFENDANTS HEWLETT-PA	ACKARD COM	PANY	
NOTE: NIAND CONDOM-NATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.   Altomarys (If Known)		Clausantar	County of Residence of	County of Peridence of First Listed Defendant Chester		
Anomays (if Knows)  III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES(rise to "X" in One Box Only)  Of Definition of This Store   PTP DIF   PTP DIF		of First Eisted Flament			SE THE LOCATION OF THE	
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION	ane J. Schiff, Esqu onsole Law Offices L 525 Locust Street, 9th	ire LC n Floor	LAND IN		.14	
U.S. Government   Control   Contro				RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
Clitter or Subject of a	1 U.S. Government	3 Federal Question	PTI	1	PTF DEF	
TV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place on "X" in One Box Only)    V. NATURE OF SUIT (Place on "X" in One Box Only)   Place of the Company o			Citizen of Another State			
16 Insurance   19 All Amino   20 A		2		3 O 3 Foreign Nation	0606	
19 August   19 A	IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)		THE BANKRUPT CY	VI SANTE OF BEING STATE OF SERVICES	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION  VI. CAUSE OF ACTION  VI. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 in excess of 150,000.00  VII. RELATED CASE(S)  (See instructions):  Signature of Responsed from Appellate Court Reopened Responsed Respons	☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Leans (Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise  ***********************************	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane  315 Airplane Product Liability  320 Assault, Libel & Slander  330 Federal Employers' Liability  340 Marine  345 Marine Product Liability  350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability  350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability  360 Other Personal Injury  370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending Property Damage Product Liability  385 Property Damage Product Liability  386 Other Personal Injury  370 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability  385 Property Damage Product Liability  386 Personal Injury  PERSONAL INJURY  369 Personal Injury  PERSONAL INJURY  369 Personal Injury  PERSONAL INJURY  369 Personal Injury  PROMICT Liability  370 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability  370 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability  380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability  360 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability  370 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability Product Liability  370 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability Product Liability Product L	610 Agriculture   620 Other Food & Drug   625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881   630 Liquor Laws   640 R.R. & Truck   650 Airline Regs.   660 Occupational Safety/Health   690 Other   LABOR   710 Fair Labor Standards Act   720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations   730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act   740 Railway Labor Act   790 Other Labor Litigation   791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act   MMIGRATION   463 Habeas Corpus Alia Detainec   465 Other Immigration	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal	400 State Reapportionment   410 Antitrust   430 Banks and Banking   450 Commerce   460 Deportation   470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations   480 Consumer Credit   490 Cable/Sat TV   810 Selective Service   850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange   875 Customer Challenge   12 USC 3410   890 Other Statutory Actions   891 Agricultural Acts   892 Economic Stabilization Act   893 Environmental Matters   894 Energy Allocation Act   900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice   950 Constitutionality of	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION    29 U.S.C. §621, et seq. ("ADEA"); 42 U.S.C. § 12101, et seq. ("ADA"); 43 P.S. §951, et seq. ("PHRA")   Brief description of cause:   Plaintiff is alleging age and disability discrimination.    VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:   CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION   DEMAND \$   CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:   UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 in excess of 150,000.00   JURY DEMAND:   Yes   No    VIII. RELATED CASE(S)	Ol 1 Original	Removed from 3 Remanded from Appellate Court	Reopened anothe	er district Litigat	on Magistrate Judgment	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 in excess of 150,000.00 UNDER DOCKET NUMBER  Explanation:  DATE  SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD	VI. CAUSE OF ACT	ION 29 U.S.C. §621, et seq. ("ADEA"); 42	U.S.C. § 12101, et seq. ("/	ALDA"); 43 P.S. §951, e	t seq. ("PHRA")	
VIII. RELATED CASE(S)  (See instructions): JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER  Explanation:  DATE  SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD		N	DEMAND S			
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD		SE(S) (See instructions):		DOCKET NUMBER		
ACIN DU	Explanation:		0 1			
		Non	ATTORNEY OF RECORD		All Miles	

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNS YLVANIA

CONSENT TO RECEIVE NOTICE OF ORDERS AND JUDGMENTS IN CIVIL AND CRIMINAL CASES BY MEANS OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION AND WAIVER OF PROVISIONS OF FED.R.CIV.P. 77(d) OR FED.R. CRIM.P. 49(c) PROVIDING FOR SAID NOTICE BY MEANS OF MAIL

#### TO THE CLERK OF COURT:

I hereby waive the provisions of Fed.R.Civ.P. 77(d) or Fed.R.Crim.P. 49(c) providing for notice of the entry of Orders or Judgments by mail in the manner provided by Fed.R.Civ.P. 5 or Fed.R.Crim.P. 49(c), and consent that notice may be given to me, in all pending and future civil or criminal cases in which I enter my appearance, by the Clerk of Court by facsimile in lieu of notice by means of mail. I understand that this form, when executed, will serve as Notice to and Authorization for the Clerk of Court to keep this information on file for all pending and future civil or criminal cases in which I enter my appearance.

I hereby confirm, by execution of this form, that I understand that it is my responsibility to notify the Clerk of Court, in writing, of my current address and facsimile number.

Lane J. Schiff, Esquire	314179
Name (Printed)	Bar Id Number
Console Law Offices LLC	
1525 Locust Street, 9th Floor	(045) 545 7070
Philadelphia, PA 19012	(215) 545-7676
Address (Printed)	`Telephone Number
, 1	(215) 405-2964
Address (Printed)	FAX Number
Sul MI	
10 10	_January 13, 2015
Signature	Date

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

APPENDIX F

Address of Plaintiff: Sewell, NJ 08080	
address of Defendant: 1550 Liberty Ridge Drive, Suite 120, Chesterbrook	ok, PA 19087
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:	le For Additional Space)
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporate (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.C.	
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?  RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	Yes□ No⊠
Case Number:Judge	Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following question	
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or was. 2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction.	within one year previously terminated action in this court?  Yes No
action in this court?	Yes NoX
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or terminated action in this court?	any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously  Yes No No
CIVIL: (Place V in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)	D. Dissett, Islands Govern
A. Federal Question Cases:  1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	<ul> <li>B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:</li> <li>1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts</li> </ul>
1. ☐ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. ☐ FELA	2. Airplane Personal Injury
	3. Assault, Defamation
3. Dones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust	4. Marine Personal Injury
5. D Patent	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. Labor-Management Relations	6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. 🖄 Civil Rights	7. Products Liability
B. Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos
9. Securities Act(s) Cases	9. All other Diversity Cases
10. Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify)	
(Check appro	CERTIFICATION  printe Category)
I. Lane J. Schiff, Esquire , counsel of record do he  Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my	
exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs,	
Relief other than monetary damages is sough	
DATE: January 13, 2015	314179
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#
	nly if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pen except as noted above.	ding or within one year previously terminated action in this court

APPENDIX I

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

#### CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Michael Covaleski

	LACTION
: Plaintiff,	
V	
Hewlett-Packard Company  Defendant.  NO.	
Deletidant. , 110.	
In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of the plaintiff shall complete a case Management Track Designation Form in all civil filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plan designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of the plaintiff and all other parties, a case management track designation form specific that defendant believes the case should be assigned.	I cases at the time of et forth on the reverse hintiff regarding said of court and serve on
SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS	:
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. §2241 through §2255.	( )
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits	f Health
(c) Arbitration - Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local C	ivil Rule 53.2. ( )
(d) Asbestos - Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage exposure to asbestos.	from ( )
(e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management.	nent by
the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of specimanagement cases.)	aı ( )
(f) Standard Management - Cases that do not fall into any one of the other trace	cks. (X)
January 13, 2015  Date  Lane J. Schiff, Esquire  Attorney-at-law  Attorney	y for Plaintiff

(215) 405-2964 FAX Number schiff@consolelaw.com

E-Mail Address

(215) 545-7676 Telephone

### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

MICHAEL COVALESKI Sewell, NJ 08080

CIVIL ACTION NO.

Plaintiff,

٧.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY 1550 Liberty Ridge Drive, Suite 120 Chesterbrook, PA 19087

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendant.

#### **CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT**

#### I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

Plaintiff, Michael Covaleski, brings this action against his former employer, Hewlett-Packard Company for unlawful discrimination, in violation of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act, as amended, 29 U.S.C. §621, et seq. ("ADEA"), the Americans with Disabilities Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §12101, et seq., ("ADA"), and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 P.S. §951, et seq., ("PHRA"). Plaintiff seeks damages, including back-pay, front-pay, compensatory, punitive, liquidated, costs and attorneys' fees, and all other relief that this Court deems appropriate.

#### II. PARTIES

1. Plaintiff, Michael Covaleski ("Plaintiff"), is an individual and a citizen of the state of New Jersey. He resides in Sewell, New Jersey.

- 2. Plaintiff was fifty-six (56) years of age with seventeen (17) years of service at the time Defendant terminated his employment.
- 3. At all times relevant, Plaintiff was disabled under the ADA and PHRA in that he suffered from a herniated disc, which substantially limited one (1) or more of his major life activities, and/or had a record of impairment and/or was regarded as having such impairment.
- 4. Defendant, Hewlett-Packard Company ("Defendant"), is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, maintaining a place of business located at 1550 Liberty Ridge Drive, Suite 120, Chesterbrook, Pennsylvania 19087.
- 5. At all times material hereto, Defendant employed more than twenty (20) employees.
- 6. At all times material hereto, Defendant was an employer within the meanings of the ADEA, ADA, and PHRA.
- 7. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was an employee within the meanings of the ADEA, ADA, and PHRA.

#### III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 8. The causes of action that form the basis of this matter arise under the ADEA, the ADA, and the PHRA.
- 9. The District Court has jurisdiction over Count I (ADEA) and Count II (ADA) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331.
- The District Court has jurisdiction over Count III (PHRA) pursuant to 28
   U.S.C. §1367.

- 11. Venue is proper in the District Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b).
- 12. On or about September 19, 2013, Plaintiff filed a Charge of Discrimination with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC"), complaining of the acts of discrimination alleged herein ("Charge"). Attached hereto, incorporated herein, and marked as Exhibit "A" is a true and correct copy of the EEOC Charge of Discrimination (with personal identifying information redacted).
- 13. On or about October 29, 2014, the EEOC issued to Plaintiff a Notice of Right to Sue. Attached hereto, incorporated herein, and marked as Exhibit "B" is a true and correct copy of this notice (with minor redactions for purposes of electronic filing of confidential/identifying information).
- 14. Plaintiff is filing this complaint within ninety (90) days from his receipt of this notice.
- 15. Plaintiff has fully complied with all administrative prerequisites for the commencement of this action.

#### IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 16. Plaintiff was hired by Defendant's predecessor, Neoware, Inc., on or about May 20, 1996. Defendant acquired Neoware, Inc. on or about December 1, 2007. At that time, Plaintiff began working for Defendant.
- 17. Plaintiff was employed by Defendant until on or about August 16, 2013. Plaintiff consistently performed his job duties in an excellent manner.
- 18. Plaintiff's disability caused him to take a ten (10) week medical leave of absence from on or about August 3, 2011 until on or about October 10, 2011.

Defendant had knowledge of Plaintiff's disability and resultant need of medical treatment.

- 19. During Plaintiff's leave of absence, on or about September 16, 2011, Defendant demoted Plaintiff's employment and he began reporting to Wyatt Davis, Manager.
- 20. On or about October 31, 2011, Plaintiff received a performance rating of Partially Achieves Expectations. Plaintiff received this poor performance review despite the fact that he had completed one hundred percent (100%) of his goals. This was the worst performance review that Plaintiff had received during the entirety of his employment with Defendant and its predecessor, Neoware, Inc.
- 21. On May 23, 2012, Defendant offered an Early Retirement Program to incent older workers, including, but not limited to, Plaintiff, to terminate their employment with Defendant. Defendant did not attempt to incent younger workers to leave their employment.
- 22. Plaintiff declined to accept the Early Retirement Program and terminate his employment with Defendant.
- 23. Merely three (3) months after Plaintiff declined to terminate his employment with Defendant, on or about August 1, 2012, Defendant demoted Plaintiff from the position of Quality Program Manager V (Master) to Quality Engineer V (expert), as part of an alleged reorganization. Plaintiff began reporting to Andrew Lamb (approximate age 42), Director of Third Level Support & Customer Solutions.
  - 24. During the fall of 2012, Plaintiff requested meetings with Mr. Lamb to

discuss his job duties and performance. Mr. Lamb refused all of Plaintiff's requests, which, to Plaintiff's knowledge, violated Defendant's policies.

- 25. On or about December 14, 2012, Plaintiff received a performance rating of Partially Achieves Expectations.
- 26. Plaintiff received this poor performance review despite the fact that he had previously been informed, in or about July of 2012, that he was performing his job duties in a satisfactory manner and would receive a performance rating of Achieves Expectations. Furthermore, Plaintiff had completed or was on track to complete one hundred percent (100%) of his goals.
- 27. Plaintiff's disability necessitated that he take a second medical leave of absence from on or about January 16, 2013 until on or about June 10, 2013. Defendant at all times had knowledge of the same.
- 28. On or about June 10, 2013, the day that Plaintiff reported back to work from his second medical leave of absence, Defendant informed him that his employment was being terminated. Plaintiff's employment was terminated on August 16, 2013.
- 29. Defendant alleged that Plaintiff's employment was terminated as part as an alleged workforce reduction, specifically stating that "the job [Plaintiff was] performing will no longer continue, [Plaintiff's] skill set was not applicable to [Defendant's] operations going forward, and/or other employees were viewed as better qualified because of past performance and competency evaluation." This is pretext for unlawful discrimination.

- 30. According to the notice Defendant provided in accordance with the Older Workers Benefit Protection Act of 1990, only one (1) other employee was terminated as part of the alleged workforce reduction. That employee was Kevin Daley (age 58), Quality Engineer. To Plaintiff's knowledge, Mr. Daley was also disabled.
- 31. Defendant retained other younger, non-disabled Quality Engineers who did similar work and were less experienced and qualified than Plaintiff.
- 32. To Plaintiff's knowledge, some or all of Plaintiff's prior responsibilities were taken over by Ron Braun (approximate age mid-30s) and other younger and/or non-disabled individuals.
- 33. Plaintiff's age was a determinative and motivating factor in the decision to terminate his employment.
- 34. Plaintiff's actual and/or perceived disability and/or record of impairment was a determinative and/or motivating factor in the decision to terminate his employment.
- 35. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's behavior, Plaintiff has sustained in the past and will sustain in the future a loss of earning, emotional upset, and pain and suffering.
- 36. Plaintiff is now suffering and will continue to suffer irreparable injury and monetary damages as a result of the unlawful behavior complained of herein unless and until this Court grants the relief requested herein.

#### **COUNTI-ADEA**

37. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 36 as if set forth herein in their entirety.

- 38. By committing the foregoing acts of discrimination against Plaintiff, Defendant has violated the ADEA.
- 39. Defendant's violations of the ADEA were intentional and willful, warranting the imposition of liquidated damages.
- 40. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's violation of the ADEA, Plaintiff has suffered the damages and losses set forth herein.
- 41. Plaintiff is entitled to all costs and attorneys' fees incurred as a result of the unlawful behavior complained of herein.
  - 42. No previous application has been made for the relief requested herein.

#### COUNT II - ADA

- 43. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 42 above, as if set forth herein in their entirety.
- 44. By committing the foregoing acts of discrimination against Plaintiff, Defendant has violated the ADA.
- 45. Defendant acted with malice or a reckless indifference to Plaintiff's rights, thereby warranting the imposition of punitive damages.
- 46. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's violation of the ADA, Plaintiff has suffered the damages and losses set forth herein.
- 47. Plaintiff is entitled to all costs and attorneys' fees incurred as a result of the unlawful behavior complained of herein.
  - 48. No previous application has been made for the relief requested herein.

#### **COUNT III - PHRA**

- 49. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 48 above, as if set forth herein in their entirety.
- 50. By committing the foregoing acts of discrimination based on Plaintiff's age and actual and/or perceived disability and/or record of impairment, Defendant has violated the PHRA.
- 51. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's violations of the PHRA, Plaintiff has sustained the injuries, damages and losses set forth herein.
- 52. Plaintiff is entitled to all costs and attorneys' fees incurred as a result of the unlawful behavior complained of herein.
  - 53. No previous application has been made for the relief requested herein.

#### RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant by:

- a. declaring the acts and practices complained of herein to be a violation of the ADEA;
- b. declaring the acts and practices complained of herein to be a violation of the ADA;
- c. declaring the acts and practices complained of herein to be a violation of PHRA;
  - d. enjoining and restraining permanently the violations alleged herein;
  - e. awarding Plaintiff back-pay;
  - f. awarding Plaintiff front-pay;

- g. awarding compensatory damages to Plaintiff for past and future emotional upset and pain and suffering;
  - h. awarding liquidated damages to Plaintiff pursuant to the ADEA;
  - i. awarding punitive damages to Plaintiff pursuant to the ADA;
- j. awarding Plaintiff the costs of this action, together with reasonable attorneys' fees;
- k. awarding Plaintiff such other damages as are appropriate under the ADA,
   ADEA, and PHRA; and

I. granting such other and further relief as this Court deems appropriate.

CONSOLE LAW OFFICES LLC

Date: 1/13/15

By:

STEPHEN G. CONSOLE

LANE J. SCHIFF

1525 Locust St., 9th Floor

Philadelphia, PA 19102

(215) 545-7676

(215) 545-8211 (facsimile)

Attorneys for Plaintiff, Michael Covaleski

# **EXHIBIT** A

CHARGE OF DISCRIMINATION				AGENCY	CHARGE NUMBER
This form is affected by the Privacy Act of 1974; See privacy statement before consolidating this form.			2	FEPA K EEOC	530-2014-00257
STATE OR LOCAL AGENCY: PHRC					
NAME (Indicate Mr., Ms., Mrs.)  Michael F. Covaleski			Æ TELEPI	HONE NUM	IBER (Include Area Code)
STREET ADDRESS CITY, STATE AND ZIP Sewell, NJ 08080			DATE OF BIR		DATE OF BIRTH
NAMED IS THE EMPLOYER, LABOR ORGALOCAL GOVERNMENT WHO DISCRIMINATE	ANIZATION, E D AGAINST MI	MPLOYME E (If more th	NT AGENO	CY, APPREI	NTICESHIP, COMMITTEE, STATE OF
NAME NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES 300,000+			S, MEMBERS TELEPHONE (Include Area Code)		
	ΓΥ, STATE A	ND ZIP			COUNTY
1550 Liberty Ridge Drive, Ste. 120		Pa 19087			Montgomery
CAUSE OF DISCRIMINATION (Check appropriate box(es)) Race Color Sex Religion National Origin Retaliation XX Age XX Disability Other (Specify)			DATE DISCRIMINATION TOOK PLACE  Earliest Latest 6/10/13  Continuing Violation		
predecessor of Respondent (Neoware Respondent on or about December 1, V and I was reporting to Andrew Lamb became my supervisor on or about Jul Davis, Manager, 3rd Level Support Cor Previously (since April 1, 2010), I report While I was reporting to Mr. Stur 2011 to October 10, 2011. My superior of my "disability." I took a second mean my superiors at Respondent (including Throughout my seventeen (17) hard-working employee. In my performeets or exceeds expectations.  *All ages herein are approximate.	2007. At the post of the post	e time of Third Le My prior nium/Voli Stuart, I ten (10) v ndent (in f absence were man	my terminy wel Support supervisor	nation, my ort & Custo or (since S mercial M CE&Q Div ical leave r. Stuart) v nuary 16, 2 of the det	position was Quality Engineer omer Solutions* (42). Mr. Lamb september 16, 2011) was Wyatt anaged IT Hardware (52). vision (age ?).  of absence from August 31, were made aware of the details 2013, to June 10, 2013. Again, ails of my "disability."
			NOTARY	Julian neces	sary for State and Local Rederements
X I want this charge filed with both the EEOC and the State or local Agency, if any. I will advise the agencies if I change my address or telephone number and cooperate fully with them in the processing of my charge in accordance with their procedures  I declare under penalty or perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.			I swear of a	iffirm that I h	ave read the above charge and that the dge information and belief.
1 deciale under penalty of perjury that the totagoing is the		grevia ===	DE OF COLOR	ATALANET	v 20
x michael (galest 9-1) Date: Charging Party (Signature)	8-2013	SUBSCRIE	ED AND SWI th, and year)	ORN TO BEF	ORE ME THIS DATE

## EEOC Charge of Discrimination Page 2 of 3 Michael Covaleski v. Hewlett-Packard

- 2. I have been discriminated against based on my age (56) and my "disability." The facts supporting my claims of discrimination include, but are not limited to, the following:
  - a) After returning from my first medical leave of absence on October 10, 2011, I was demoted from Mr. Stuarts group and began reporting to Mr. Davis who, just one month later, gave me a lesser performance rating ("partially achieves");
  - b) On May 23, 2012, Respondent offered incentive packages to older workers to leave employment. To the best of my knowledge, Respondent did not attempt to incent younger workers to leave employment;
  - c) I declined to accept the termination package;
  - d) On August 12, 2012, I was demoted to Quality Engineer V (my prior title was Quality Program Manager V (Master);
  - e) During the Fall of 2012, I requested meetings with Mr. Lamb to discuss my projects and performance goals but he failed to have one-on-one meetings with me;
  - f) On December 14, 2012, I learned that my performance rating had been downgraded to a partially achieves rating by Mr. Lamb who had only been my supervisor for six (6) months and had not had any one-on-one meetings with me concerning work and performance. This was despite the fact that Mr. Davis, to whom I reported until July 25, 2012, told me that he had rated me as "achieved performance" and said that he was satisfied with my work that year and believed that I had done a good job;
  - g) On June 10, 2013, the day that I reported back to work from my second medical leave of absence, I was notified at 9:00 a.m. by telephone by Mr. Lamb that I was being terminated;
  - h) My last day of work was August 16, 2013;
  - At the time of my termination, Kevin Daley, Quality Engineer (58), was also terminated;
  - i) I believe that Respondent retained other Quality Engineers who did similar works were less experienced and qualified than me, were younger than me and/or were not "disabled;" and,
  - k) Some or all of my prior responsibilities were taken over by Ron Braun (age unknown) and other younger and/or non-"disabled" individuals who were retained by Respondent.

# EEOC Charge of Discrimination Page 3 of 3 Michael Covaleski v. Hewlett-Packard

B.

- 1. During the June 10, 2013, phone call, Mr. Lamb told me that I was being terminated because the Respondent was leaving the Wayne, Pennsylvania, facility and there was no longer a job for me;
- My duties were transferred to other individuals including younger and/or non-"disabled" employees;
- 3. Respondent's stated reason is pretextual; and,
- 4. I was not offered positions in other locations where younger and/or non-"disabled" individuals continue to perform duties that I am as or more qualified to perform.
- C. I allege that Respondent's conduct, including without limitation, the conduct referenced herein, was discriminatory based on my age (56) and, my "disability" in violation of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act, as amended, 29 U.S.C. §621, et seq. ("ADEA"), the Americans with Disabilities Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §12101, et seq., ("ADA"), and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, as amended, 43 P.S. §951, et seq. ("PHRA").



#### INFORMATION FOR COMPLAINANTS & ELECTION OPTION TO DUAL FILE WITH THE PENNSYLVANIA HUMAN RELATIONS COMMISSION

#### Michael F. Covaleski v. Hewlett Packard Company

EEOC No. 530-2014-00257

You have the right to file this charge of discrimination with the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission (PHRC) under the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act. Filing your charge with PHRC protects your state rights, especially since there may be circumstances in which state and federal laws and procedures vary in a manner which would affect the outcome of your case.

Complaints filed with the PHRC must be filed within 180 days of the act(s) which you believe are unlawful discrimination. If PHRC determines that your PHRC complaint is untimely, it will be dismissed.

If you want your charge filed with the PHRC, including this form as part of your EEOC charge, with your signature under the verification below, will constitute filing with the PHRC. You have chosen EEOC to investigate your complaint, so PHRC will not investigate it and, in most cases, will accept EEOC's finding. If you disagree with PHRC's adoption of EEOC's finding, you will have the chance to file a request for preliminary hearing with PHRC.

Since you have chosen to file your charge first with EEOC, making it the primary investigatory agency, the Respondent will not be required to file an answer with PHRC, and no other action with PHRC is required by either party, unless/until otherwise notified by PHRC.

If your case is still pending with PHRC after one year from filing with PHRC, you have the right to file your complaint in state court. PHRC will inform you of these rights and obligations at that time.

[Sign and date appropriate request below]

X I want my charge filed with PHRC. I hereby incorporate this form and the verification below into the attached EEOC complaint form and file it as my PHRC complaint. I request EEOC to transmit it to PHRC.

X I understand that false statements in this complaint are made subject to the penalties of Pa.C.S. §4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Truckort

9-18-2019

Signature and Date (Michael F. Covaleski)

VEO - EEOC

# EXHIBIT B

EEOC Form 161-B (11/09)

#### U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

	N	OTICE OF RIGHT TO SUE (I	SSUED O	N REQUEST)	
	ael Covaleski II, NJ 08080		From:	Philadelphia District Office 801 Market Street Suite 1300 Philadelphia, PA 19107	ce
	On behalf of person(s) agg CONFIDENTIAL (29 CFR				
EEOC Charg	ge No.	EEOC Representative		Tel	lephone No.
530-2014-	00257	Legal Unit		(2:	15) 440-2828
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(See also	the additional information en	nclosed with this form.
NOTICE TO TH	IE PERSON AGGRIEVED:	9)			
Act (GINA): been issued of your rece	This is your Notice of Rig at your request. Your law	64, the Americans with Disabilities ht to Sue, issued under Title VII, the vsuit under Title VII, the ADA or GINA r right to sue based on this charge w	ADA or GINA A must be fil	A based on the above-number led in a federal or state cou	ered charge. It has art WITHIN 90 DAYS
X	More than 180 days have	ve passed since the filing of this char	ge.		
		re passed since the filing of this charg administrative processing within 180			y that the EEOC will
X	The EEOC is terminating	g its processing of this charge.			
	The EEOC will continue	to process this charge.			
Age Discrin 90 days afte your case:	nination in Employment r you receive notice that v	Act (ADEA): You may sue under the ve have completed action on the char	e ADEA at ar rge. In this r	ny time from 60 days after the egard, the paragraph marke	e charge was filed unti ed below applies to
X	The EEOC is closing your pour recei	our case. Therefore, your lawsuit und pt of this Notice. Otherwise, your ri	der the ADEA ight to sue ba	must be filed in federal or ased on the above-numbered	state court <u>WITHIN</u> d charge will be lost.
		g its handling of your ADEA case. Ho ral or state court under the ADEA at		days have passed since the	filing of the charge,
in federal or	state court within 2 years (	ive the right to sue under the EPA (filir 3 years for willful violations) of the alle han 2 years (3 years) before you fil	ged EPA un	derpayment. This means tha	suits must be brought it backpay due for
If you file sui	t, based on this charge, ple	ease send a copy of your court comple	aint to this off	ice.	
		// On beha	the Con	nmission	
		1			1 1
		ON	1	*	10/29/14
Enclosures	(s)	Spencer H District	. Lewis, Jr. Director		(Date Mailed)
cc: I	HEWLETT PACKARD CO	DMPANY	Conne	le Law Offices, LLC.	
i i	Elizabeth Deardorff, Esq			n G. Console	
	5400 Legacy Dr		Orebug		

Employment Litigation, H4-1H-13 Plano, TX 75024

1525 Locust Street, 9th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102